Pendulum (mechanics)

A **pendulum** is a body suspended from a fixed support so that it swings freely back and forth under the influence of gravity. When a pendulum is displaced sideways from its resting, equilibrium position, it is subject to a restoring force due to gravity that will accelerate it back toward the equilibrium position. When released, the restoring force acting on the pendulum's mass causes it to oscillate about the equilibrium position, swinging it back and forth. The mathematics of [pendulums](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pendulum) are in general quite complicated. Simplifying assumptions can be made, which in the case of a [simple pendulum](#page-0-0) allow the equations of motion to be solved analytically for small-angle oscillations.

[Animation of a pendulum showing the velocity and](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Equations_of_motion) acceleration vectors.

Simple gravity pendulum

A *simple gravity pendulum*[\[1\]](#page-18-0) is an idealized mathematical model of a real pendulum.[\[2\]](#page-18-1)[\[3\]](#page-18-2)[\[4\]](#page-18-3) This is a weight (or [bob](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bob_(physics))) on the end of a massless cord suspended from a [pivot](https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/pivot), without [friction](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friction). Since in this model there is no frictional energy loss, when given an initial displacement it will swing back and forth at a constant [amplitude](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amplitude). The model is based on these assumptions:

- The rod or cord on which the bob swings is massless, inextensible and always remains taut.
- The bob is a point mass.
- Motion occurs only in [two dimensions,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Two_dimensions) i.e. the bob does not trace an [ellipse](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ellipse) but an [arc](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arc_(geometry)).
- The motion does not lose energy to [friction](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friction) or [air resistance.](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Air_resistance)
- The gravitational field is uniform.

• The support does not move.

The [differential equation](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Differential_equation) which represents the motion of a simple pendulum is

(Eq. 1)

where *g* is the [magnitude](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magnitude_(mathematics)) of the [gravitational field,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity_of_Earth) *ℓ* is the length of the rod or cord, and *θ* is the angle from the vertical to the pendulum.

[gravitational force](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravitational_force) acting on the bob, and the violet arrows are that same force resolved into components parallel and perpendicular to the bob's instantaneous

motion. The direction of the bob's instantaneous [velocity](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Velocity) always points along the red axis, which is considered the tangential axis because its direction is always tangent to the circle. Consider [Newton's second law](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Newton%27s_second_law),

$F = ma$

where *F* is the sum of forces on the object, *m* is mass, and *a* is the acceleration. Because we are only concerned with changes in speed, and because the bob is forced to stay in a circular path, we apply Newton's equation to the tangential axis only. The short violet arrow represents the component of the gravitational force in the tangential axis, and trigonometry can be used to determine its magnitude. Thus,

$$
F=-mg\sin\theta=ma,\qquad\text{so}\;\\ a=-g\sin\theta,
$$

where *g* is the acceleration due to gravity near the surface of the earth. The negative sign on the right hand side implies that *θ* and *a* always point in opposite directions. This makes sense because when a pendulum swings further to the left, we would expect it to accelerate back toward the right.

This linear acceleration *a* along the red axis can be related to the change in angle *θ* by the arc length formulas; *s* is arc length:

$$
\begin{array}{l} s=\ell\theta,\\ v=\displaystyle\frac{ds}{dt}=\ell\frac{d\theta}{dt},\\ a=\displaystyle\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}=\ell\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}, \end{array}
$$

thus:

$$
\ell \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} = - g \sin \theta,
$$

$$
\frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2} + \frac{g}{\ell} \sin \theta = 0.
$$

"Torque" derivation of ([Eq. 1](#page-1-0))

Equation (1) can be obtained using two definitions for torque.

$$
\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{F} = \frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt}.
$$

First start by defining the torque on the pendulum bob using the force due to gravity.

$\tau = \mathbf{l} \times \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{g}},$

where **I** is the length vector of the pendulum and \mathbf{F}_{g} is the force due to gravity.

For now just consider the magnitude of the torque on the pendulum.

 $|\tau| = -mg\ell \sin \theta,$

where *m* is the mass of the pendulum, *g* is the acceleration due to gravity, *l* is the length of the pendulum, and *θ* is the angle between the length vector and the force due to gravity.

Next rewrite the angular momentum.

$$
\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{r} \times (\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \mathbf{r}).
$$

Again just consider the magnitude of the angular momentum.

$$
|\mathbf{L}|=mr^2\omega=m\ell^2\frac{d\theta}{dt}.
$$

and its time derivative

$$
\frac{d}{dt}|\mathbf{L}|=m\ell^2\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2},
$$

According to $\tau = \frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt}$ $\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt}$, we can get by comparing the magnitudes

$$
- m g \ell \sin \theta = m \ell^2 \frac{d^2 \theta}{dt^2},
$$

thus:

$$
\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}+\frac{g}{\ell}\sin\theta=0,
$$

which is the same result as obtained through force analysis.

It can also be obtained via the [conservation of mechanical energy](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conservation_of_mechanical_energy) principle: any object falling a vertical distance h would acquire [kinetic energy](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kinetic_energy) equal to that which it lost to the fall. In other words, [gravitational potential energy](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravitational_energy) is converted into kinetic energy. Change in potential energy is given by

$$
\Delta U = mgh.
$$

The change in kinetic energy (body started from rest) is given by

$$
\Delta K = \tfrac{1}{2} m v^2.
$$

Since no energy is lost, the gain in one must be equal to the loss in the other

$$
\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = mgh.
$$

The change in velocity for a given change in height can be expressed as

$$
v=\sqrt{2gh}.
$$

Using the arc length formula above, this equation can be rewritten in terms of $\frac{d\theta}{dt}$:

$$
v = \ell \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \sqrt{2gh}, \quad \text{so}
$$

$$
\frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{\sqrt{2gh}}{l},
$$

where *h* is the vertical distance the pendulum fell. Look at Figure 2, which presents the trigonometry of a simple pendulum. If the pendulum starts its swing from some initial angle θ_0 , then y_0 , the vertical distance from the screw, is given by

$$
y_0=\ell\cos\theta_0.
$$

Similarly, for y_1 , we have

$$
y_1=\ell\cos\theta.
$$

Then *h* is the difference of the two

$$
h=\ell\left(\cos\theta-\cos\theta_0\right).
$$

In terms of $\frac{d\theta}{dt}$ gives

(Eq. 2)

This equation is known as the *first integral of motion*, it gives the velocity in terms of the location and includes an integration constant related to the initial displacement

 (θ_0) . We can differentiate, by applying the [chain rule,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chain_rule) with respect to time to get the acceleration

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\frac{d}{dt}\frac{d\theta}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt}\sqrt{\frac{2g}{\ell}\left(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_{0}\right)},\\
\frac{d^{2}\theta}{dt^{2}} &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{-\frac{2g}{\ell}\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{2g}{\ell}\left(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_{0}\right)}}\frac{d\theta}{dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{-\frac{2g}{\ell}\sin\theta}{\sqrt{\frac{2g}{\ell}\left(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_{0}\right)}}\sqrt{\frac{2g}{\ell}\left(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_{0}\right)} = -\frac{g}{\ell}\sin\theta,\\
\frac{d^{2}\theta}{dt^{2}} + \frac{g}{\ell}\sin\theta &= 0,\n\end{aligned}
$$

which is the same result as obtained through force analysis.

Small-angle approximation

Small-angle approximation for the sine function: For $\theta \approx 0$ we find sin $\theta \approx \theta$.

The differential equation given above is not easily solved, and there is no solution that can be written in terms of elementary functions. However, adding a restriction to the size of the oscillation's amplitude gives a form whose solution can be easily obtained. If it is assumed that the angle is much less than 1 [radian](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Radian) (often cited as less than 0.1 radians, about 6°), or

$\theta \ll 1$.

then substituting for sin *θ* into **[Eq. 1](#page-1-0)** using the [small-angle approximation](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small-angle_approximation),

$$
\sin\theta\approx\theta,
$$

yields the equation for a [harmonic oscillator,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harmonic_oscillator)

$$
\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2}+\frac{g}{\ell}\theta=0
$$

The error due to the approximation is of order θ^3 (from the [Taylor expansion](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Taylor_expansion) for sin θ).

Let the starting angle be θ_0 . If it is assumed that the pendulum is released with zero [angular velocity,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angular_velocity) the solution becomes

$$
\theta(t) = \theta_0 \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{g}{\ell}}\, t\right) \qquad \qquad \theta_0 \ll 1.
$$

The motion is [simple harmonic motion](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple_harmonic_motion) where θ_0 is the [amplitude](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amplitude_(wave_motion)) of the oscillation (that is, the maximum angle between the rod of the pendulum and the vertical). The corresponding approximate [period](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Period_(physics)) of the motion is then

$$
\boxed{T_0=2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}} \qquad \qquad \theta_0\ll 1}
$$

which is known as [Christiaan Huygens](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Christiaan_Huygens)'s law for the period. Note that under the small-angle approximation, the period is independent of the amplitude θ_0 ; this is the property of [isochronism](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Isochronous) that [Galileo](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Galileo) discovered.

Rule of thumb for pendulum length

$$
T_0=2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}
$$

gives

$$
\ell=\frac{g}{\pi^2}\frac{T_0^2}{4}.
$$

If [SI units](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_System_of_Units) are used (i.e. measure in metres and seconds), and assuming the measurement is taking place on the Earth's surface, then $g \approx 9.81$ m/s², and $\frac{g}{\pi^2} \approx 1$ m/s² (0.994 is the approximation to 3 decimal places).

Therefore, relatively reasonable approximations for the length and period are:

where T_0 is the number of seconds between *two* beats (one beat for each side of the swing), and *l* is measured in metres.

Arbitrary-amplitude period

Figure 3. Deviation of the "true" period of a pendulum from the small-angle approximation of the period. "True" value was obtained numerically evaluating the elliptic integral.

Figure 4. Relative errors using the power series for the period.

Figure 5. Potential energy and [phase portrait](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phase_portrait) of a simple pendulum. Note that the *x*-axis, being angle, wraps onto itself after every 2*π* radians.

For amplitudes beyond the [small angle approximation](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small_angle_approximation), one can compute the exact period by first inverting the equation for the angular velocity obtained from the energy method (**[Eq. 2](#page-4-0)**),

$$
\frac{dt}{d\theta}=\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{2g}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos\theta-\cos\theta_0}}
$$

and then integrating over one complete cycle,

$$
T=t(\theta_0\rightarrow 0\rightarrow -\theta_0\rightarrow 0\rightarrow \theta_0),
$$

or twice the half-cycle

$$
T=2t(\theta_0\to 0\to -\theta_0),
$$

or four times the quarter-cycle

$$
T=4t(\theta_0\rightarrow 0),
$$

which leads to

$$
T=4\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{2g}}\int_0^{\theta_0}\frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\cos\theta-\cos\theta_0}}
$$

Note that this integral diverges as θ_0 approaches the vertical

$$
\lim_{\theta_0\to\pi}T=\infty,
$$

so that a pendulum with just the right energy to go vertical will never actually get there. (Conversely, a pendulum close to its maximum can take an arbitrarily long time to fall down.)

This integral can be rewritten in terms of [elliptic integrals](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elliptic_integral) as

$$
T=4\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}F\left(\frac{\pi}{2},\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)
$$

where *F* is the [incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elliptic_integral#Incomplete_elliptic_integral_of_the_first_kind) defined by

$$
F(\varphi,k)=\int_0^\varphi\frac{du}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2 u}}\,.
$$

Or more concisely by the [substitution](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Integration_by_substitution)

$$
\sin u = \frac{\sin\frac{\theta}{2}}{\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}}
$$

expressing *θ* in terms of *u*,

$$
T=\frac{2T_0}{\pi}K(k),\qquad\text{where}\quad k=\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}.\qquad\textsf{Eq. 3}
$$

Here *K* is the [complete elliptic integral of the first kind](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elliptic_integral#Complete_elliptic_integral_of_the_first_kind) defined by

$$
K(k)=F\left(\frac{\pi}{2},k\right)=\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{1-k^2\sin^2 u}}\,.
$$

For comparison of the approximation to the full solution, consider the period of a pendulum of length 1 m on Earth ($g = 9.80665$ m/s²) at initial angle 10 degrees is

$$
4\sqrt{\frac{\text{1 m}}{g}}\;K\left(\sin \frac{10^{\circ}}{2}\right) \approx 2.0102\;\text{s}.
$$

The linear approximation gives

$$
2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\text{1 m}}{g}}\approx 2.0064\text{ s}.
$$

The difference between the two values, less than 0.2%, is much less than that caused by the variation of *g* with geographical location.

From here there are many ways to proceed to calculate the elliptic integral.

Legendre polynomial solution for the elliptic integral

Given **[Eq. 3](#page-9-0)** and the [Legendre polynomial](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legendre_polynomial) solution for the elliptic integral:

$$
K(k) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} k^n \right)^2
$$

where *n*!! denotes the [double factorial](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Double_factorial), an exact solution to the period of a simple pendulum is:

$$
\begin{aligned} T &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\left(1+\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2\sin^2\frac{\theta_0}{2}+\left(\frac{1\cdot3}{2\cdot4}\right)^2\sin^4\frac{\theta_0}{2}+\left(\frac{1\cdot3\cdot5}{2\cdot4\cdot6}\right)^2\sin^6\frac{\theta_0}{2}+\cdots\right) \\ &= 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\cdot\sum_{n=0}^\infty\left(\left(\frac{(2n)!}{(2^n\cdot n!)^2}\right)^2\cdot\sin^{2n}\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right). \end{aligned}
$$

Figure 4 shows the relative errors using the power series. T_0 is the linear approximation, and T_2 to T_{10} include respectively the terms up to the 2nd to the 10th powers.

Power series solution for the elliptic integral

Another formulation of the above solution can be found if the following Maclaurin series:

$$
\sin\frac{\theta_0}{2}=\frac{1}{2}\theta_0-\frac{1}{48}\theta_0^3+\frac{1}{3\,840}\theta_0^5-\frac{1}{645\,120}\theta_0^7+\cdots.
$$

is used in the Legendre polynomial solution above. The resulting power series is:^{[\[5\]](#page-19-0)}

$$
T=2\pi\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}\left(1+\frac{1}{16}\theta_0^2+\frac{11}{3\,072}\theta_0^4+\frac{173}{737\,280}\theta_0^6+\frac{22\,931}{1\,321\,205\,760}\theta_0^8+\frac{1\,319\,183}{951\,268\,147\,200}\theta_0^{10}+\frac{233\,526\,463}{2\,009\,078\,326\,886\,400}\theta_0^{12}+\cdots\right),
$$

more fractions available in the [On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/On-Line_Encyclopedia_of_Integer_Sequences) with [OEIS:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/On-Line_Encyclopedia_of_Integer_Sequences) [A223067](https://oeis.org/A223067) having the numerators and [OEIS](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/On-Line_Encyclopedia_of_Integer_Sequences): [A223068](https://oeis.org/A223068) having the denominators.

Arithmetic-geometric mean solution for elliptic integral

Given **[Eq. 3](#page-9-0)** and the [arithmetic–geometric mean](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arithmetic%E2%80%93geometric_mean) solution of the elliptic integral:

$$
K(k)=\frac{\pi}{2M(1-k,1+k)},
$$

where *M*(*x*,*y*) is the arithmetic-geometric mean of *x* and *y*.

This yields an alternative and faster-converging formula for the period: [\[6\]](#page-19-1)[\[7\]](#page-19-2)[\[8\]](#page-19-3)

$$
T=\frac{2\pi}{M\left(1,\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)}\sqrt{\frac{\ell}{g}}.
$$

The first iteration of this algorithm gives

$$
T_1=\frac{2T_0}{1+\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}}
$$

This approximation has the relative error of less than 1% for angles up to 96.11 degrees.^{[\[6\]](#page-19-1)} Since $tfrac{1}{2}\left(1+\cos\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2}\right)\right)=\cos^2\frac{\theta_0}{4}$, the expression can be written more concisely as

$$
T_1=T_0\sec^2\frac{\theta_0}{4}
$$

The second order expansion of $\sec^2(\theta_0/4)$ reduces to $T \approx T_0 \left(1 + \frac{\theta_0^2}{16}\right)$.

A second iteration of this algorithm gives

$$
T_2 = \frac{4T_0}{1 + \cos\frac{\theta_0}{2} + 2\sqrt{\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}}} = \frac{4T_0}{\left(1 + \sqrt{\cos\frac{\theta_0}{2}}\right)^2}
$$

This second approximation has a relative error of less than 1% for angles up to 163.10 degrees. [\[6\]](#page-19-1)

Approximate formulae for the nonlinear pendulum period

Though the exact period T can be determined, for any finite amplitude $\theta_0 < \pi$ rad, by evaluating the corresponding complete elliptic integral $K(k)$, where $k \equiv \sin(\theta_0/2)$, this is often avoided in applications because it is not possible to express this integral in a closed form in terms of elementary functions. This has made way for research on simple approximate formulae for the increase of the pendulum period with amplitude (useful in introductory physics labs, classical mechanics, electromagnetism, acoustics, electronics, superconductivity, etc.^{[\[9\]](#page-19-4)} The approximate formulae found by different authors can be classified as follows:

• 'Not so large-angle' formulae, i.e. those yielding good estimates for amplitudes below $\pi/2$ rad (a natural limit for a bob on the end of a flexible string), though the deviation with respect to the exact period increases monotonically with amplitude, being unsuitable for amplitudes near to π rad. One of the simplest formulae found in literature is the following one by Lima (2006):

$$
T \approx -\,T_0\,\tfrac{\ln a}{1-a}, \text{ where } a \equiv \cos{(\theta_0/2)}\!\!\!.^{[\![10]\!]}
$$

'Very large-angle' formulae, i.e. those which approximate the exact period asymptotically for amplitudes near to π rad, with an error that increases monotonically for smaller amplitudes (i.e., unsuitable for small amplitudes). One of the better such formulae is that by Cromer, namely: $[11]$ $T\approx \frac{2}{\pi}\,T_0\,\ln{(4/a)}.$

Of course, the increase of T with amplitude is more apparent when $\pi/2 < \theta_0 < \pi$, as has been observed in many experiments using either a rigid rod or a disc.^{[\[12\]](#page-19-7)} As accurate timers and sensors are currently available even in introductory physics labs, the experimental errors found in 'very large-angle' experiments are already small enough for a comparison with the exact period and a very good agreement between theory and experiments in which friction is negligible has been found. Since this activity has been encouraged by many instructors, a simple approximate formula for the pendulum period valid for all possible amplitudes, to which experimental data could be compared, was sought. In 2008, Lima derived a weighted-average formula with this characteristic:^{[\[9\]](#page-19-4)}

$$
T \approx \frac{r\,a^2\,T_{\rm{Lima}} + k^2\,T_{\rm{Cromer}}}{r\,a^2 + k^2},
$$

where $r = 7.17$, which presents a maximum error of only 0.6% (at $\theta_0 = 95^{\circ}$).

Arbitrary-amplitude angular displacement Fourier series

The Fourier series expansion of $\theta(t)$ is given by

$$
\theta(t) = 8 \sum_{n \geq 1 \; \text{odd}} \frac{(-1)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}}{n} \frac{q^{n/2}}{1+q^n} \cos(n \omega t)
$$

where q is the [elliptic nome](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nome_(mathematics)), $q = \exp(-\pi K'/K)$, and $\omega = 2\pi/T$ the angular frequency. If one defines

$$
\epsilon = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}}}{2 + 2 \sqrt{\cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}}}
$$

 q can be approximated using the expansion

$$
q=\varepsilon+2\varepsilon^5+15\varepsilon^9+150\varepsilon^{13}+1707\varepsilon^{17}+20910\varepsilon^{21}+\cdots
$$

(see [OEIS](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/On-Line_Encyclopedia_of_Integer_Sequences): [A002103\)](https://oeis.org/A002103). Note that for $\theta_0 < \pi$ we have $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, thus the approximation is applicable even for large amplitudes.

Examples

The animations below depict the motion of a simple (frictionless) pendulum with increasing amounts of initial displacement of the bob, or equivalently increasing initial velocity. The small graph above each pendulum is the corresponding [phase plane](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phase_plane) diagram; the horizontal axis is displacement and the vertical axis is velocity. With a large enough initial velocity the pendulum does not oscillate back and forth but rotates completely around the pivot.

Initial angle of 0°, a stable equilibrium

Initial angle of 45°

Initial angle of 90°

Initial angle of 135°

Initial angle of 170°

Initial angle of 180°, unstable equilibrium

Pendulum with just barely enough energy for a full swing

Pendulum with enough energy for a full swing

Compound pendulum

A **compound pendulum** (or **physical pendulum**) is one where the rod is not massless, and may have extended size; that is, an arbitrarily shaped [rigid body](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rigid_body) swinging by a pivot. In this case the pendulum's period depends on its [moment of inertia](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moment_of_inertia) *I* around the pivot point.

The equation of [torque](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Torque) gives:

$$
\tau=I\alpha
$$

where:

- α is the angular acceleration.
- *τ* is the torque

The torque is generated by gravity so:

$$
\tau=-mgL\sin\theta
$$

where:

- *m* is the mass of the body
- *L* is the distance from the pivot to the object's center of mass
- θ *θ* is the angle from the vertical

Hence, under the small-angle approximation $\sin \theta \approx \theta$,

$$
\alpha = \ddot{\theta} \approx -\frac{mgL\theta}{I}
$$

where *I* is the moment of inertia of the body about the pivot point.

The expression for α is of the same form as the conventional simple pendulum and gives a period $of^[2]$ $of^[2]$ $of^[2]$

$$
T=2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I}{m g L}}
$$

And a frequency of

$$
f=\frac{1}{T}=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{mgL}{I}}
$$

If the initial angle is taken into consideration (for large amplitudes), then the expression for α becomes:

$$
\alpha=\ddot{\theta}=-\frac{mgL\sin\theta}{I}
$$

and gives a period of:

$$
T=4\,\mathrm{K}\bigg(\sin^2\frac{\theta_0}{2}\bigg)\sqrt{\frac{I}{m g L}}
$$

where θ_0 [is the maximum angle of oscillation \(with respect to the vertical\) and](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Elliptic_integral#Complete_elliptic_integral_of_the_first_kind) $K(k)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind.

Physical interpretation of the imaginary period

The [Jacobian elliptic function](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jacobi%27s_elliptic_functions) that expresses the position of a pendulum as a function of time is a [doubly periodic function](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doubly_periodic_function) with a [real](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Real_number) period and an [imaginary](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Imaginary_number) period. The real period is, of course, the time it takes the pendulum to go through one full cycle. [Paul Appell](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Appell) pointed out a physical interpretation of the imaginary period:^{[\[13\]](#page-19-8)} if θ_0 is the maximum angle of one pendulum and 180° – θ_0 is the maximum angle of another, then the real period of each is the magnitude of the imaginary period of the other.

Coupled pendula

Two identical simple pendulums coupled via a spring connecting the bobs.

Coupled pendulums can affect each other's motion, either through a direction connection (such as a spring connecting the bobs) or through motions in a supporting structure (such as a tabletop). The equations of motion for two identical simple pendulums coupled by a spring connecting the bobs can be obtained using [Lagrangian Mechanics.](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lagrangian_mechanics)

The kinetic energy of the system is:

$$
E_{\rm K}=\frac{1}{2}mL^2\left(\dot{\theta}_1^2+\dot{\theta}_2^2\right)
$$

where m is the mass of the bobs, L is the length of the strings, and θ_1 , θ_2 are the angular displacements of the two bobs from equilibrium.

The potential energy of the system is:

$$
E_{\rm p}=mgL(2-\cos\theta_1-\cos\theta_2)+\frac{1}{2}kL^2(\theta_2-\theta_1)^2
$$

where g is the [gravitational acceleration](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravitational_acceleration), and k is the [spring constant.](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hooke%27s_law) The displacement $L(\theta_2-\theta_1)$ of the spring from its equilibrium position assumes the [small angle approximation.](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Small-angle_approximation)

The Lagrangian is then

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}mL^2\left(\dot\theta_1^2+\dot\theta_2^2\right)-mgL(2-\cos\theta_1-\cos\theta_2)-\frac{1}{2}kL^2(\theta_2-\theta_1)^2
$$

which leads to the following set of coupled differential equations:

$$
\ddot{\theta}_1+\dfrac{g}{L}\sin\theta_1+\dfrac{\kappa}{m}(\theta_1-\theta_2)=0\\ \ddot{\theta}_2+\dfrac{g}{L}\sin\theta_2-\dfrac{k}{m}(\theta_1-\theta_2)=0
$$

Adding and subtracting these two equations in turn, and applying the small angle approximation, gives two [harmonic oscillator](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Harmonic_oscillator#Simple_harmonic_oscillator) equations in the variables $\theta_1 + \theta_2$ and $\theta_1 - \theta_2$:

$$
\ddot{\theta}_1+\ddot{\theta}_2+\frac{g}{L}(\theta_1+\theta_2)=0\\ \ddot{\theta}_1-\ddot{\theta}_2+\left(\frac{g}{L}+2\frac{k}{m}\right)(\theta_1-\theta_2)=0
$$

with the corresponding solutions

$$
\begin{aligned} \theta_1 + \theta_2 &= A \cos(\omega_1 t + \alpha) \\ \theta_1 - \theta_2 &= B \cos(\omega_2 t + \beta) \end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\omega_1=\sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}\\[.25cm] \omega_2=\sqrt{\frac{g}{L}+2\frac{k}{m}}
$$

and \vec{A} , \vec{B} , α , β are [constants of integration](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Constant_of_integration).

Expressing the solutions in terms of θ_1 and θ_2 alone:

$$
\theta_1=\frac{1}{2}A\cos(\omega_1 t+\alpha)+\frac{1}{2}B\cos(\omega_2 t+\beta)\\ \theta_2=\frac{1}{2}A\cos(\omega_1 t+\alpha)-\frac{1}{2}B\cos(\omega_2 t+\beta)
$$

If the bobs are not given an initial push, then the condition $\dot{\theta}_1(0) = \dot{\theta}_2(0) = 0$ requires $\alpha = \beta = 0$, which gives (after some rearranging):

$$
\begin{array}{l} A=\theta_1(0)+\theta_2(0) \\ B=\theta_1(0)-\theta_2(0) \end{array}
$$

See also

- [Blackburn pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Blackburn_pendulum)
- [Conical pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conical_pendulum)
- [Cycloidal pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cycloid#Cycloidal_pendulum)
- [Double pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Double_pendulum)
- [Inverted pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inverted_pendulum)
- [Kapitza's pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kapitza%27s_pendulum)
- [Rayleigh–Lorentz pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Rayleigh%E2%80%93Lorentz_pendulum)
- [Spring pendulum](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spring_pendulum)
- [Mathieu function](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mathieu_function)
- [Pendulum equations \(software\)](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/APMonitor#Pendulum_motion)

References

- 1. defined by Christiaan Huygens: Huygens, Christian (1673). "Horologium Oscillatorium" (http://www.17cent [urymaths.com/contents/huygens/horologiumpart4a.pdf\)](http://www.17centurymaths.com/contents/huygens/horologiumpart4a.pdf) (PDF). *17centurymaths*. 17thcenturymaths.com. Retrieved 2009-03-01., Part 4, Definition 3, translated July 2007 by Ian Bruce
- 2. Nave, Carl R. (2006). "Simple pendulum" [\(http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/pend.html\)](http://hyperphysics.phy-astr.gsu.edu/hbase/pend.html) . *Hyperphysics*. Georgia State Univ. Retrieved 2008-12-10.
- 3. Xue, Linwei (2007). "Pendulum Systems" [\(http://www.mace.manchester.ac.uk/project/teaching/civil/structu](http://www.mace.manchester.ac.uk/project/teaching/civil/structuralconcepts/Dynamics/pendulum/pendulum_con.php) ralconcepts/Dynamics/pendulum/pendulum_con.php) . *Seeing and Touching Structural Concepts*. Civil Engineering Dept., Univ. of Manchester, UK. Retrieved 2008-12-10.
- 4. Weisstein, Eric W. (2007). "Simple Pendulum" [\(http://scienceworld.wolfram.com/physics/SimplePendulu](http://scienceworld.wolfram.com/physics/SimplePendulum.html) m.html) . *Eric Weisstein's world of science*. Wolfram Research. Retrieved 2009-03-09.

- 5. Nelson, Robert; Olsson, M. G. (February 1986). "The pendulum — Rich physics from a simple system". *American Journal of Physics*. **54** (2): 112–121. [Bibcode:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier))1986AmJPh..54..112N (https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/ abs/1986AmJPh..54..112N) . [doi:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier))10.1119/1.14703 [\(https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.14703\)](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1986AmJPh..54..112N) .
- 6. Carvalhaes, Claudio G.; Suppes, Patrick (December 2008), "Approximations for the period of the simple pendulum based on the arithmetic-geometric mean" (https://suppes-corpus.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbi [ybj7316/f/approximations_for_the_period_of_the_simple_pendulum_based_on_the_arithmetic-geometric_](https://suppes-corpus.stanford.edu/sites/g/files/sbiybj7316/f/approximations_for_the_period_of_the_simple_pendulum_based_on_the_arithmetic-geometric_mean_431.pdf) mean_431.pdf) (PDF), *Am. J. [Phys.](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/American_Journal_of_Physics)*, **76** (12͒): 1150–1154, [Bibcode:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier))2008AmJPh..76.1150C (https://ui.adsabs.h [arvard.edu/abs/2008AmJPh..76.1150C\)](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AmJPh..76.1150C) , [doi:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier))10.1119/1.2968864 [\(https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.2968864\)](https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.2968864) , [ISSN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISSN_(identifier)) 0002-9505 [\(https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505\)](https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505) , retrieved 2013-12-14
- 7. [Borwein,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jonathan_Borwein) J.M.; [Borwein,](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peter_Borwein) P.B. (1987). *Pi and the AGM*. New York: Wiley. pp. 1–15. [ISBN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISBN_(identifier)) [0-471-83138-7](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Special:BookSources/0-471-83138-7). [MR](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/MR_(identifier)) 0877728 [\(https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0877728\)](https://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=0877728) .
- 8. Van Baak, Tom (November 2013). "A New and Wonderful Pendulum Period Equation" (http://www.leapsec [ond.com/hsn2006/pendulum-period-agm.pdf\)](http://www.leapsecond.com/hsn2006/pendulum-period-agm.pdf) (PDF). *Horological Science Newsletter*. **2013** (5): 22–30.
- 9. Lima, F. M. S. (2008-09-10). "Simple 'log formulae' for pendulum motion valid for any amplitude" (http://st [acks.iop.org/0143-0807/29/i=5/a=021?key=crossref.78b07aabf445ee2e2934f76c20a9cff4\)](http://stacks.iop.org/0143-0807/29/i=5/a=021?key=crossref.78b07aabf445ee2e2934f76c20a9cff4) . *European Journal of Physics*. **29** (5): 1091–1098. [doi](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier)):10.1088/0143-0807/29/5/021 (https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0143-0 807%2F29%2F5%2F021) . [ISSN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISSN_(identifier)) 0143-0807 [\(https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0143-0807\)](https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0143-0807%2F29%2F5%2F021) – via IoP journals.
- 10. Lima, F. M. S.; Arun, P. (October 2006). "An accurate formula for the period of a simple pendulum oscillating beyond the small angle regime". *American Journal of Physics*. **74** (10): 892–895. [arXiv:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ArXiv_(identifier))physics/0510206 [\(https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0510206\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0510206) . [Bibcode:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier))2006AmJPh..74..892L (https://ui. [adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AmJPh..74..892L\)](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2006AmJPh..74..892L) . [doi:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier))10.1119/1.2215616 (https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.2 215616) . [ISSN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISSN_(identifier)) 0002-9505 [\(https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505\)](https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505) . [S2CID](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/S2CID_(identifier)) 36304104 (https://api.se manticscholar.org/CorpusID:36304104) .
- 11. Cromer, Alan (February 1995). "Many oscillations of a rigid rod". *American Journal of Physics*. **63** (2): 112– 121. [Bibcode](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier)):1995AmJPh..63..112C [\(https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995AmJPh..63..112C\)](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1995AmJPh..63..112C) . [doi:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier))10.1119/1.17966 [\(https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.17966\)](https://doi.org/10.1119%2F1.17966) . [ISSN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISSN_(identifier)) 0002-9505 (https://www.worldcat.org/is sn/0002-9505) .
- 12. Gil, Salvador; Legarreta, Andrés E.; Di Gregorio, Daniel E. (September 2008). "Measuring anharmonicity in a large amplitude pendulum". *American Journal of Physics*. **76** (9): 843–847. [Bibcode:](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier))2008AmJPh..76..843G (h [ttps://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AmJPh..76..843G\)](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AmJPh..76..843G) . [doi](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier)):10.1119/1.2908184 (https://doi.org/10.111 9%2F1.2908184) . [ISSN](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/ISSN_(identifier)) 0002-9505 [\(https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505\)](https://www.worldcat.org/issn/0002-9505) .
- 13. Appell, Paul (July 1878). "Sur une interprétation des valeurs imaginaires du temps en Mécanique" [On an interpretation of imaginary time values in mechanics]. *Comptes Rendus [Hebdomadaires](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Comptes_Rendus_Hebdomadaires_des_S%C3%A9ances_de_l%27Acad%C3%A9mie_des_Sciences) des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences*. **87** (1).

Further reading

https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pendulum_(mechanics) 20/21

- [Baker, Gregory L.; Blackburn, James A. \(2005\).](http://www.ulb.tu-darmstadt.de/tocs/129360481.pdf) *The Pendulum: A Physics Case Study* (http://www.ul b.tu-darmstadt.de/tocs/129360481.pdf) (PDF). Oxford University Press.
- Ochs, Karlheinz (2011). "A comprehensive analytical solution of the nonlinear pendulum". *[European Journal of Physics](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/European_Journal_of_Physics)*. **32** [\(2\): 479–490. B](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011EJPh...32..479O)[ibcode](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bibcode_(identifier))[:2011EJPh...32..479O \(https://ui.adsabs.harvar](https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011EJPh...32..479O) d.edu/abs/2011EJPh...32..479O) [. d](https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0143-0807%2F32%2F2%2F019)[oi](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier))[:10.1088/0143-0807/32/2/019 \(https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0](https://doi.org/10.1088%2F0143-0807%2F32%2F2%2F019) 143-0807%2F32%2F2%2F019) .
- Sala, Kenneth L. (1989). "Transformations of the Jacobian Amplitude Function and its Calculation via the Arithmetic-Geometric Mean". *SIAM J. Math. Anal*. **20** (6): 1514–1528. [doi](https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Doi_(identifier)):10.1137/0520100 [\(https://doi.org/10.1137%2F0520100\)](https://doi.org/10.1137%2F0520100) .

External links

[Mathworld article on Mathieu Function \(http://mathworld.wolfram.com/MathieuFunction.html\)](http://mathworld.wolfram.com/MathieuFunction.html)